



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,002	05/01/2001	Hyung-Chul Kim	678-600 (P9393) 4813	
7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Paul J. Farrell, Esq.			NGUYEN, LE V	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, NY 11553			2174	7
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

		· /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique O	09/847,002	KIM, HYUNG-CHUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le Nguyen	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	April 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	_					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	· · · ·	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/847,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment A, filed 4/5/04.

2. Claims 1-8 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are independent claims; and claims 6 and 7 have been amended. This action is made Final.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. ("Smith", US 5,923,327).

As per claim 6, Smith teaches a method for editing a main menu configuration in a mobile telephone comprising: displaying a menu edit screen, if a menu edit submenu is selected; displaying a menu configuration submenu, if a menu configuration edit submenu is selected; editing a menu configuration by newly selecting submenus of the menu configuration submenu; inputting titles of the newly selected submenus and storing the edited menu configuration with a title input by a user Smith: fig. 8A, users may edit a directory/menu configuration by selecting an option on the submenu such as "NEW NAME", "DELETE NAME" or "CREATE CARD"; fig. 13A; col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 8, if information icon 1211 is selected, a menu configuration submenu/EBC is displayed, and users may then edit or add any field of the submenu and input titles of the newly selected submenus, e.g. an identifying name such as a

Art Unit: 2174

person's name is given to the entry "ARLAN ANDERSON", in order to edit the directory/menu

configuration).

As per claim 7, the modified method of Smith and Smethers teaches a method for editing

a main menu configuration in a mobile telephone comprising displaying the menu configuration

titles edited by a user, if a menu configuration select submenu is selected on the menu edit screen

and changing the main menu configuration displayed on a display of the mobile telephone to the

edited menu configuration selected by a user (figs. 7-10; col. 6, line 65 through col. 8, line 10;

col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 8; the main menu configuration is changed accordingly to

users editing the submenu/EBC).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al.

("Smith", US 5,923,327) in view of Smethers (US 6,463,304 B2).

As per claim 1, Smith teaches a method for changing a menu icon in a mobile telephone,

the method comprising:

downloading menu icon data from a web server connected to a network (col. 11, lines15-

16 and col. 6, lines 61-62);

converting the menu icon data to icon form suitable for a display of the mobile telephone

and storing the converted menu icon data as menu icons (figs. 6 and 8-10; col. 6, lines 59-64);

upon receipt of an icon set change request from a user, displaying a set of the stored icons

(col. 7, lines 26-27 and lines 48-49); and

Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

displaying desired ones of a set of the menu icons by selection of the user on a main menu screen (col. 7, lines 51-52).

Smith does not explicitly disclose the web server connected to an IP network. Smethers teaches a method comprising a mobile telephone wherein the mobile telephone is coupled to a server connected to an IP network (col. 5, lines 14-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Smether's mobile telephone wherein the mobile telephone is coupled to a server connected to an IP network to Smith's mobile telephone wherein the mobile telephone is coupled to a server connected to an communications network in order to provide users with an implementation preference.

As per claim 2, the modified method of Smith and Smethers teaches a method for changing a menu icon in a mobile telephone wherein in the downloading step, the menu icon data downloaded from the web server is transmitted to an SMS center and the SMS center transmits the menu icon data together with SMS data to the mobile telephone through a base station transceiver subsystem (BTS) (Smith: col. 11, lines 10-14).

As per claim 3, the modified method of Smith and Smethers teaches a method wherein the menu icon data is segmented into a plurality of SMS data before transmission and is reassembled into original menu icon data at the mobile telephone (Smith: figs. 6 and 8-10; col. 4, lines 64-67; col. 11, lines15-16 and col. 6, lines 61-62; col. 7, line 26 through col. 8, line 10).

As per claim 4, the modified method of Smith and Smethers teaches a method for changing a menu icon in a mobile telephone comprising editing a main menu screen configuration by selecting desired ones of icons stored in a database, downloading the selected menu icon data through a cable or wireless LAN connected between the database and the mobile

Art Unit: 2174

telephone and changing the downloaded menu icon data to prescribed menu icons displayed on a main menu screen (Smethers: col. 5, lines 14-18; Smith: col. 7, line 26 through col. 8, line 10; col. 11, lines 12-16; col. 8, lines 22-23; col. 6, lines 40-44; i.e., editing a main menu screen configuration by selecting desired ones of icons stored in a database as CLID or CLID and EBC data wherein changing the downloaded menu icon data to prescribed menu icons displayed on a main menu screen is inherent given that users are able to view the data).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. ("Smith", US 5,923,327) in view of Smethers (US 6,463,304 B2) as applied in claim 1

As per claim 5, although the modified teaching of Smith and Smethers teaches a method for changing a menu icon in a mobile telephone wherein the mobile telephone is coupled to an IP network and is in communication with a computer and wherein the editing comprises accessing and selecting menu icons and editing the menu screen configuration using the menu icons stored in a computer database, the modified Smith and Smethers does not explicitly disclose that the menu icons are selected and downloaded from an icon providing Web site using a personal computer. Official Notice is taken that selecting and downloading menu icons from an icon providing Web site using a personal computer is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include selecting and downloading menu icons from an icon providing Web site using a personal computer to the modified teaching of Smith and Smethers wherein selection and downloading of menu icons stored in a computer database in order to provide users with an alternative source for downloading data.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. ("Smith", US 5,923,327) in view of Kenagy et al. ("Kenagy", US 5,842,124)

Art Unit: 2174

Page 6

As per claim 8, Smith teaches a method for editing a main menu configuration in a mobile telephone comprising access to a menu edit submenu and displaying the menu edit submenu. Smith does not explicitly disclose an edit submenu wherein prior to accessing the menu edit submenu, users are requested to input an input lock code wherein determination is made whether the inputted lock code is identical to a prescribed lock code to display the menu edit submenu. Kenagy teaches a mobile telephone users may designate a password to correspond with a different storage area or correspond to varying levels of programming access (col., lines; i.e. a first password permitting user access only to the user-programmable system parameter storage area 130 while a second password may permit user access to the semipermanent system parameter storage area 128 in addition to the user-programmable system parameter storage area). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kenagy's teaching of a mobile telephone users may designate a password to correspond with a different storage area or correspond to varying levels of programming access to Smith's method for editing a main menu configuration in a mobile telephone in order to provide a user with a method that prevents selected content from being over-written.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed in Amendment A have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued the following:

(a) There is no section of Smith that teaches the recitations for editing a main menu configuration.

Art Unit: 2174

(b) Neither Smith nor Smethers teach downloading menu icon data.

The examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per (a), in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., editing a main menu) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, users may edit/populate a main menu/electronic address book directory via the modified Smith's edit submenu screen, which allows editing entries in the main menu/electronic address book directory (Smith: fig. 8A, users may edit a directory/menu configuration by selecting an option on the submenu such as "NEW NAME", "DELETE NAME" or "CREATE CARD"; fig. 13A; col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 8, if information icon 1211 is selected, a menu configuration submenu/EBC is displayed, and users may then edit or add any field of the submenu and input titles of the newly selected submenus, e.g. an identifying name such as a person's name is given to the entry "ARLAN ANDERSON", in order to edit the directory/menu configuration).

Per (b), the modified Smith does teach downloading menu icon data. The modified Smith teaches a method for changing a menu icon in a mobile telephone (figs. 8A, 10 and 13A; col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 8) wherein users of the system download an EBC including location icons such as home, work, cellular, email and fax icons (Smith: fig. 6; col. 11, lines15-16 and col. 6, lines 61-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/847,002 Page 8

Art Unit: 2174

### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### *Inquires*

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lê whose telephone number is (703) 305-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Art Unit: 2174

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

LVN Patent Examiner June 9, 2004

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100